IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ELIPE DHARMA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 9238 of 2000

Between:

Vemula Rajanna, S/o. Late V.Lingaiah,
Occupation: Assistant Engineer in M.S.E.S. Naded,

R/o. Koretla, Koretala Mandal,
Karimnagaar District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Municipal Council Koretla, Rep. by Commissioner.
2. P.Lalitha, W/o. Adi Mallaiah, R/o. Indra Road, Koretla, Karimnagar District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No. 1 by way of a direction or order more particularly in the nature of a *Writ of Mandamus*, directing the Respondent No.1 to consider the petitioner fresh application dated 4.12.1999 for permission for constructions plot of land admeasuring 195 square yards in S. No. 70 of Koretla along with petitioner's earlier application for the same purpose dated 04-07-1996 and to dispose of the same in the light of the directions passed by this Hon'ble High court in W.P.22049/96 dated 16-10-1996 and consequently direct the 1st respondent to cancel the permission granted to the 2nd respondent in File No. G/287/2000 dated 22-4-2000.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.M.A.BARI

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: MR.P.RADHAKRISHNA (S.C. for Muncipal Corporation)

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: MR. D.V. Sitaramamurty

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

For directing the first respondent to consider the application of the petitioner dated 4.12.1999 for grant of permission for construction over the plot of land admeasuring 195 square yards in Survey No.70 of Koretla along with petitioner's earlier application for the same purpose dated 4.7.1996, the present writ petition has been filed.

When the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned standing counsel for the first respondent submits that the application of the petitioner was considered and disposed of on 24.12.1999, and therefore, the relief sought for by the petitioner has already been given. Therefore, there is no need to adjudicate the lis in this writ petition.

Recording the said submission, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

_____

(ELIPE DHARMA RAO, J)

Date: 15.07.2005

Ksn

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation, Koretla,

2. 2 CD copies

3. 1 CC to MR.POLISETTI RADHAKRISHNA (SC FOR MPL)

4. 1 CC to MR.BARI

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**JPK**}*